		STOFRAL ELECTION	
1 2	BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION SECRETARIAN		
3 4	In the Matter of)	200b MAY -3 ₱ 4: 35	
5 6 7	•	CASE CLOSURE UNDER THE ENFORCEMENT PRIORITY SYSTEM	
8 9 10)	SENSITIVE	
11 12	GENERAL COUNSEL'S REPORT		
13	The Office of General Counsel has scored MUR 5713 as a low-rated matter. Under		
14	the Enforcement Priority System, matters that are low-rated		
15		are forwarded	
16	to the Commission with a recommendation for dismissal. The Commission has determined		
17.	that pursuing low-rated matters compared to other higher rated matters on the Enforcement		
18	docket warrants the exercise of its prosecutorial discretion to dismiss these cases.		
19	The facts giving rise to this complaint, which were reviewed by the Commission on		
20	March 9, 2006, involve the contribution of \$500 to Congressman Martin Meehan's		
21	Congressional Committee through the purchase of tickets to attend a fundraiser in March of		
22	2001. Initially the tickets were to be purchased by the respondent, Ancient Order of		
23	Hibernians ("AOH"), but after learning of the potential illegality of the purchase, a member		
24	of the AOH purchased the tickets with funds from his own bank account, on or about March		
25	13, 2001. While the member claims that the transaction was not illegal, he does not indicate		
26	whether AOH reimbursed him for the contribution.		
27			
28			
29			
30			

Case Closure Under EPS – Mt 713 General Counsel's Report Page 2 of 3

In addition to being low-rated, the Office of General Counsel has also determined that the majority of the alleged activity in this case took place over five years ago. In Federal Election Commission v. Williams, 104 F.3d 237 (9th Cir. 1996), cert. denied, 522 U.S. 1015 (1997), the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit held, inter alia, that the five-year statute of limitations for filing a suit to enforce a civil penalty found in 28 U.S.C. § 2462 applied not only to judicial proceedings to enforce civil penalties already imposed, but also to proceedings seeking the imposition of these penalties, including the Commission's law enforcement suits under 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(6).

Thus, in reviewing both the merits and the procedural posture of MUR 5713, and in furtherance of the Commission's priorities and resources relative to other pending matters on the Enforcement docket, the Office of General Counsel believes that the Commission should exercise its prosecutorial discretion and dismiss the matter. ¹ See Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 821 (1985).

RECOMMENDATION

The Office of General Counsel recommends that the Commission dismiss MUR 5713, close the file effective two weeks from the date of the Commission vote, and approve the appropriate letters. Closing the case as of this date will allow CELA and General Law and Advice the necessary time to prepare the closing letters and the case file for the public record.

1	

9 10 11

12 13

14 15 16

17 18

19

20

2604414246

21 Attachment: 22

Narrative in MUR 5713

James A. Kahl Deputy General Counsel

BY:

Gregory R. Baker Special Counsel

Complaints Examination & Legal Administration

Supervisory Attorney
Complaints Examination & Legal Administration

33

Response filed: April 3, 2006

1 2 3 4 **MUR 5713** 5 6 Complainant: John O'Gorman 7 8 **Respondents:** Ancient Order of Hibernians (AOH) Division 16 and 9 Francis (Bud) V. Foley 10 Allegations: Complainant alleges that the Ancient Order of Hibernians ("AOH"), a tax-11 exempt organization, had authorized one of its members, Francis V. Foley, to purchase 12 13 \$500 in tickets for members and their families to attend a March 17, 2001 fundraiser for Congressman Martin Meehan, a fellow AOH member. The complainant notes that the 14 15 FEC contributor database discloses a \$500 contribution from Francis Foley to the 16 Meehan Committee on March 13, 2001. Thus, the complainant speculates that the \$500 17 contribution made by Mr. Foley may have been merely a contribution by AOH funneled 18 through Mr. Foley to support Congressman Meehan. 19 20 Responses: Mr. Foley responded by noting that when he discovered that AOH could not 21 purchase the tickets due to its "non profit" status, he decided as the oldest member of 22 AOH, division 16, to purchase the tickets out of his personal funds. Although Mr. Foley 23 does not specifically refute the innuendo that he may have been reimbursed for the ticket 24 purchase, he does state that neither he nor AOH violated the Federal Election Campaign 25 Act. 26 General Counsel Note: The facts giving rise to this complaint took place between 27 28 March 13-17, 2001 and, therefore, are beyond the applicable statute of limitations for 29 FEC Enforcement action. 30 31 Date complaint filed: March 8, 2006 32